

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,686	03/15/2001	James G. Watson	21046-PA	5132
7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			DEXTER, CLARK F	
502 Washinton Towson, MD	Avenue, Ste. 220 21204		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Towson, Wib	21204		3724	17
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
	09/808,686	WATSON, JAMES	\$ G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Clark F. Dexter	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 021	May 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	• •	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14,16 and 17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or election requirement.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/808,686 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on May 2, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Support is not provided in the original disclosure for stationary guide rods as set forth in claims 14 and 16. Rather, support is provided for guide rods that are movable in a pivotal direction (see Figures 11-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,686

Art Unit: 3724

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McIntosh, pn 5,842,400.

McIntosh discloses a power-driven saw machine with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a housing (e.g., 2,3,4,5,6,8), a motor (e.g., 13) within the housing, cutting means (e.g., 31), support means comprising a pair of stationary guide rods (e.g., 10), and a movable rod (e.g., 59).

In the alternative, if it is argued that McIntosh lacks a housing, the Examiner takes Official notice that such housings are old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including enclosing the working structure of the saw for reasons such as protecting the saw structure and/or safety considerations. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a housing on the saw machine of McIntosh for the well known benefits including those described above.

Further in the alternative, if it is argued that McIntosh does not explicitly disclose a motor as claimed, the Examiner takes Official notice that such motors and motor configurations are old and well known in the art and provide well known and obvious benefits such as providing a driving configuration to drive the saw blade. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a motor

Art Unit: 3724

attached to the saw blade of McIntosh for various well known reasons including that described above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McIntosh, pn 5,842,400.

McIntosh discloses a power-driven saw machine with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but (a) lacks an explicit disclosure of the motor configuration and (b) lacks the movable rod being pulled laterally out of the housing.

Regarding (a), the Examiner takes Official notice that such motors on power-driven saw machines are old and well known in the art and provide various known benefits including providing a simple and efficient driving configuration to drive the saw blade. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide such a motor configuration on the saw of McIntosh for various well known reasons including that described above.

Regarding (b), the Examiner takes Official notice that such movable rods and old and well known in the art and provide various known benefits including providing a structure for manually controlling the movement, orientation as well as the operation of the saw blade. Sherwen, pn 3,005,477 provides one example of such a movable rod. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide

Application/Control Number: 09/808,686 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

such a movable rod on the saw of McIntosh for the well known benefits including those described above.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (703)308-1404. The examiner can be reached Monday through Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (703)308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd

September 7, 2004